POLICE DEPARTMENT

520 COURT STREET

WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695

(916) 662-3922

January 3, 1979

University of California, Berkeley Institute of Governmental Studies Berkeley, California 94720

Attn. Jack Leister, Head Librarian, IGS

Dear Sir:

In response to your letter of the 11th of December, I am forwarding a copy of policies, which were the results of nine months of discussions with the local Justicia.

We injected many of the suggestions of the Committee into our updated policy. This department feels that, through these discussions, we have a better understanding between our citizens and the police department.

If we can be of any further service, please call on us.

Yours truly,

Robert Holler

Detective, Internal Affairs

RH:rk

Police - complainte

police - complainte

against - can

public recets - Police

and.

Fireirms - Lowet

requisites - can

against - can

can

can

requisites - can

can

can

requisites - can

can

requisites - can

requisites

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2025 with funding from State of California and California State Library

POLICY REGARDING UNDOCUMENTED PERSONS OR ILLEGAL ALIENS

- The enforcement of immigration laws as set forth under the U.S. Code is the primary responsibility of agents of the Immigration and Naturalization Service. Officers of the Woodland Police Department shall not stop or detain any person for the purpose of determining if that person is legally present in the United States.
- When an individual is identified as a suspect or is arrested for violation of a state or local statute and there is probable cause to believe that the person is also an undocumented person ("illegal alien"), the person shall be lodged in the Yolo County Jail for the appropriate state or local charge and proper notification of Immigration Naturalization Service Officers shall be made, or the person shall be released directly to I.N.S. officers.
- For purposes of this policy and its interpretation, minor infraction of the Vehicle Code in which arrest is not the normal procedure shall not be considered as an arrest. In no case shall mere suspicion that a person is an undocumented person be considered cause for stop, interrogation or investigation of any individual to determine the legality of his status in this country.

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT POLICY

2	
7	

The Police Department has engaged over the years in various activities and programs to encourage or assist greater community understanding of and cooperation with the objectives and work of the Police Department.

The Department shall continue to seek to develop improved liasion with various segments of the community and otherwise to provide good community relations.

INSTITUTE OF GOVERNMENTAL STUDIES LIBRARY

JAN 5 1979

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

STOP AND FRISK

I. INTRODUCTION

The Fourth Amendment prohibits unreasonable searches and seizuers. The Courts have held that when individuals are "stopped" for investigation by a peace officer, a "seizure" of the person has occurred within the meaning of the Fourth Amendment. As a result, there must be a reasonable basis for the seizure.

The Fourth Amendment attempts to strike a balance between the individual right of privacy and the legitimate need of law enforcement to conduct brief investigations of criminal conduct without the necessity of making an arrest.

The law of "Stop and Frisk" is designed to strike that balance.

No matter how a statute is worded it cannot convey power to an officer to do more than that which courts define as valid exercise of police conduct under the Constitution of the United States or the constitution of the state in which a local or state officer is employed. The Department shall provide officers with the monthly Law Review from the Attorney General's Office and the District Attorney's memos on changes in criminal law.

II. DEFINITIONS

STOP FOR QUESTIONING: A stop for questioning is a seizure of a person and is justified when a police officer observes unusual conduct which leads him reasonably to conclude in light of his experience that criminal activity is afoot and that the individual is involved.

FRISK OR PAT DOWN: Is a search within the meaning of the Fourth Amendment and is justfied when the officer questioning an individual developes a belief that the suspect may be armed and dangerous. The frisk is justified if made under circumstances where a reasonable prudent man would be warranted in the belief that his safety or the safety of others is in danger.

DISTINGUISH, PROBABLE CAUSE FOR ARREST: An officer has cause for arrest when it appears to the officer that more likely than not that a crime has been committed, and more likely than not that the person arrested committed it.

III GUIDELINES

A. THE STOP

When May an Individual Be Stopped?

An officer may stop a citizen for investigation whenever he believes that criminal activity may be afoot. There are not hard and fast rules as to when the stopping is necessary.

Three requirements, however, must be met.

- (1) There must be a rational suspicion by the peace officer that some activity of a criminal nature is or has been taking place.
- (2) There must be some factor to connect the person under suspicion with the criminal activity.
- (3) There must be some suggestion that the activity is related to crime.

The Courts have held the following factors usually in combination to be significant in determining whether the

officers properly stopped an individual. It should not be assumed that any of these factors, standing alone, necessarily justifies stopping the individual.

- (1) Report of recent crime in the area.
- (2) It is night time.
- (3) The place is know as an area of frequent and current crimes.
- (4) There is information that criminal activity was scheduled to take place of the type consistent with what the persons are seen doing.
- (5) There is knowledge that the person was previously convicted of the suspected crime.
- (6) The persons were sitting in a parked car at an unusual time and place.
- (7) The person was driving a car in an erratic or suspicious fashion.
- (8) The persons gave cause to believe that they were violating motor vehicle laws.
- (9) The persons acted in an unusual manner (furtive movement, etc) at the approach of the officer.

The courts have stressed that in justifying the particular detention the police officer must be able to point to specific facts, clearly expressed, which when taken together with rational inferences from those facts, reasonably warrant the intrusion. The courts apply an objective standard and officers' inarticulate hunches and subjective good faith

are not enough to justify the detention. These factors may be based on the officer's observations, information received from other sources, expertise possessed by the officer, other circumstantial factors mentioned above, or a combination of some or all of these.

How Long May An Individual Be Detained?

Once an individual has been lawfully stopped, he may be detained for as long as is <u>reasonably necessary</u> to accomplish the purpose for the stop.

Officers stopping a vehicle for a citation may only detain the vehicle long enough to issue a citation.

If further suspicious facts are brought to the officer's attention during a period of lawful detention this will justify detaining the individual until the further facts can be investigated.

If necessary in the reasonable investigation of a crime an individual may be detained so that the victim of the crime may confront him.

B. THE FRISK

When May An Individual Be Frisked?

A "frisk" is a cursory search of the outer clothing of the person stopped. Just as a "stop" is a seizure within the meaning of the Fourth Amendment, a "frisk" is a search within the meaning of the Fourth Amendment and there must be a reasonable basis for the frisk. The basis for any frisk is to prevent danger to the officer from an unexpected assault. But there must be some evidence that the officer reasonably believed he was confronting a person who had an instrumentality

on his person capable of inflicting injury. Thus, the courts have held that the officer must be able to point to <u>particular</u> facts from which he reasonably believed in the light of his experience that the individual he was dealing with was armed and dangerous.

The officer may not indiscriminately frisk every individual he stops, and should be able to point to particular facts which gave rise to a concern that the individual is armed and dangerous.

The following factors are among those which should be considered to determine whether a reasonably prudent man in the circumstances would be warranted in the belief that his safety or that of others is in danger:

- (1) The nature of the suspected crime and whether it involved a weapon.
- (2) Whether it is day or night.
- (3) Knowledge of the record or reputation of the person stopped.
- (4) The number of officers making the stop.
- (5) The number of persons stopped.
- (6) The demeanor of the persons.
- (7) Whether the persons clothes bulge in such a manner as to suggest the presence of weapons.
- (8) Whether a persons companion is found to be armed.
- (9) Whether the individual is stopped in a high crime area.

(10) Whether the person makes a furtive movement as if he were reaching for a weapon.

Not all of the above factors standing alone will, necessarily, justify a frisk.

The Scope of the Frisk

The purpose of the frisk is to dicover guns, knives, clubs, or other hidden instruments that might be used to assault the officer. The scope of the search for weapons should be the minimum necessary to discover the weapons and initially confinds to a superficial pat-down for weapons. The officer should not ask the suspect to empty his pockets or pull up his outer garment, when a pat-down would suffice.

The officer may conduct a cursory search, not only of the individual's outer clothing, but of any area from which the individual might easily procure weapons, if the officer reasonably suspects that a weapon is located there.

Although the officer may have the right to pat down the individual's outer clothing, he may not reach inside the clothing of the person or search further unless he has reason to believe that the pat-down has disclosed the presence of a weapon. The officer must feel some object which a prudent man could believe was an object usable as an instrument of assault.

If the person has removed the object from his pocket and the officers are satisfied that it is not a weapon, they may not search the contents of the otherwise lawfully possessed object further.

C. POLICE OFFICER CONSIDERATIONS

- (1) The officer should never stop an individual unless he feels there is no reasonable alternative to prevent a crime, or learn of its existence, or to prevent the person's escape from detection.
- (2) A person of a minority or ethnic group, that is contacted by a police officer, shall be given the same protection under the guidelines as is herein stated.
- (3) At the time the officer makes a stop he should announce his identity and his purpose for the stop unless the purpose of the stop is obvious.
- (4) Valid grounds for a stop do not license unreasonable police conduct.
- (5) The officer may ask the person to move a <u>short</u> distance or take other appropriate action if necessary to conduct his questioning.
- (6) The officer may use force to detain a rebellious person, but not deadly force, or force likely to <u>cause</u> serious injury unless necessary for his own protection.
- (7) The officer may consider a refusal to answer a questions as an element justifying further investigation only if the question posed is one which an innocent person would normally respond to.
- (8) The officer may consider an attempt to escape as well as facts he learns during his investigation to determine whether or not he has probable cause

to arrest.

- (9) If as a result of the officer's questioning or as a result of his frisk, he decides to arrest, he must advise the person of his constitutional rights before any further questioning.
- (10) The officer should be polite and non-offensive.
- (11) Every officer must follow the guidelines when he acts. Otherwise he may have great difficulty justifying his actions after the fact.
- (12) These guidelines do not apply when the officermerely talks to a person so long as the individualknows he is free to go.

IV SUMMARY

It is constitutionally permissible for an officer to detain an individual for investigation without probable cause to arrest if the officer has reasonable grounds, based on specific facts, clearly expressed, that the detention was necessary in the interest of crime detection and prevention. If the officer has reasonable grounds, based on specific facts, to believe that the individual detained is armed and dangerous, he may require the person to submit to a superficial frisk for weapons. If the frisk reveals an object which feels like a weapon, the officer may remove the object from the suspect's possession and examine it further. On the other hand, if the officer has no reason to detain the individual, the frisk is unreasonable. If the officer conducts a search that goes beyond that necessary for the discovery of weapons,

the search, although valid in the beginning, is unreasonable in scope. If the stop or the frisk or the scope of the frisk are held unreasonable, any evidence obtained by the officers as a result of these actions will be inadmissible in evidence.



CITIZEN COMPLAINT - COMMENDATION PROCEDURE

9.5

CITIZEN COMPLAINTS

9.51

PURPOSE

A realtionship of trust and confidence between members of the department and the community they serve is essential to effective law enforcement. Law enforcement officers must be free to exercise their best judgment and to initiate enforcement action in a responsible, lawful, and impartial manner without fear of reprisal. So too, enforceers of the law have a special obliga-

tion to respect meticulously the rights of all persons.

The police department acknowledges its responsibility to establish a complaint system and disciplinary procedure which not only will subject the officer to corrective action when he conducts himself improperly, but also will protect him from unwarranted criticism when he discharges his duties properly. It is the purpose of these procedures to provide a prompt, just, open and expeditious disposition of complaints regarding the conduct of members and employees of this Department.

9.52

POLICY

It is the policy of the City of Woodland and of the Woodland Police

Department to encourage citizens to bring to the attention of the department complaints about the conduct of its members whenever a citizen believes that a law enforcement act is improper. Complaints will be received courteously by all on-duty employees of the department.

The department will make every effort to insure that no

adverse consequences occur to any person or witness as a result of having brought a complaint or having provided information in any investigation of a complaint. Any department employee who subjects a complaintant to recrimination or retaliation shall incur appropriate disciplinary action.

A. Public Awareness

Summaries of of the complaint process, containing the essential elements of these procedures, shall be made available to the public. Likewise, copies of the procedures shall be made available to the public upon request. Such summaries and copies shall be available in Spanish to the degree needed by the public.

B. Investigation

All citizen complaints will be thoroughly and impartially investigated in accordance with the procedures prescribed herein.

C. Disposition

Complaint disposition will be made in a fair and prompt manner, consistent with the time limits set forth in these procedures and with the obligation of providing an equitable and lawful process for all involved parties.

9.53 <u>DEFINITION OF TERMS</u>

Complaint: A statement made alleging that a department member (sworn or non-sworn) has engaged in an act constituting misconduct. Complaints can be made by members of the public and department personnel.

Complainant: The person who files a complaint with the department alleging the commission of misconduct

by a member or members of the department and includes any aggrieved party and any person or group who assists him in filing the complaint.

Member: Both sworn and civilian employees of

Misconduct: Under these procedures is shown by a preponderance of the evidence that a member of

the department has:

- (1) Violated any rule, procedure or lawful order of the department;
- (2) Violated any of the provisions of the City Charter or of any section of the Civil Service or Merit Plan rules relating to employee conduct in office;
- (3) Violated any law, whether codified by city statute, state or federal statute, or constitutional provision.

Rules and Regulations: The administrative acts promulgated by the Chief of Police which are designed to regulate departmental standards of conduct and appearance.

Witness: A person who can produce evidence relevant to an alleged misconduct.

9.54 COMPLAINT FORMS

The Police Department shall prepare complaint forms summarizing these procedures and providing sufficient space to record citizen reports of alleged misconduct. These forms shall be available to the public at the department and the

city clerks office. The form shall be completed as thoroughly as practical, and all spaces shall have information inserted (i.e., not known, refused, etc.). The following information shall be included on the form.

- A. Name, address, and telephone number of the complainant;
- B. Name, address, and telephone number if known of the alleged victim if other than the complainant;
- C. Name (s), address(es), telephone number (s) and/or description(s) of any witness(es) to the alleged incident, including department members;
- D. Name(s), and/or badge number(s) and description(s) of the member(s) of the department against whom the complaint is lodged;
- E. Name(s), address(es), and telephone number(s) of any person(s) arrested, detained, or questioned;
- F. Name, address, and telephone number of any attorney or other representative of the complainant to whom communications concerning the complaint should be addressed in lieu of the complainant;
- G. Date, time, and location of the alleged incident;
- H. Narrative description of the events giving rise to the complaint;
- I. Statement, if known, whether the complainant, the alleged victim of the misconduct (if other than the complainant) or any other witness to the alleged incident, was questioned, detained, arrested and/or charged with criminal conduct as a result of the events giving rise to the complaint;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

- Statement whether it is permissible for the assigned J. investigator to contact the complaint at home or place of employment;
- Name, business telephone number, and signature of the department member receiving the complaint;
- Instructions to give a duplicate copy of the completed form to the L. complainant.

9.55

RECEIVING COMPLAINT

Every person has an absolute right to bring a complaint. His or her reluctance to prepare a complaint form shall not impair that right. If the complainant will not complete the form, or sign it, it shall be completed by the department member with whom the complaint is lodged.

- Citizen complaints shall be accepted by members of the Α. department at any time of day. Complaints shall be accepted from any source and shall be accepted in writing, in person or by telephone. Complaints may also be made anonymously; the complainant need not give his or her name.
- В. The complaining party may be accompanied by an attorney or other representative at the time the

//

27

28

complaint is made and at other times during the complaint process.

- C. Citizen complaint reports shall be received by
 Internal Affairs (IA) investigators (or a
 designated employee functioning in the capacity
 either full or part-time) whenever possible.
 Thus, complaint reports made in person or by
 telephone, shall be referred to IA personnel
 whenever such personnel are available.
- D. If the complaint is lodged in person and IA personnel are not available, the employee receiving it shall furnish the complainant with a complaint form. The complainant shall be asked to complete the form and sign it, and the employee receiving the complaint shall assist the complainant in completing the form, if requested. An oath or declaration shall not be required. The complainant may refuse to sign the form or give his or her name.

In every case, the department member receiving the complaint shall sign the form in the space provided. The date and time received shall also be indicated and a duplicate copy of the complaint report shall be given to the complainant as his/her receipt.

E. If the complaint is received by mail, the complaint form shall be completed by (1) IA personnel or another member functioning in that capacity; or (2) the captain or a designated commander

responsible for complaint processing. Within two working days, a duplicate copy of the complaint form shall be mailed to the complainant as a record of the filing of the complaint. If the complaint form is mailed and has already been completed by the complainant, it shall be referred to the responsible employee as set forth in (1) or (2) above. He or she only need place his/her signature and the date and time received in the space provided and mail the duplicate copy to the complainant.

- F. If the complaint is received by telephone, the department member receiving the call, or personnel set fort in subsection "E" above, shall complete the original complaint form and prepare a duplicate copy for mailing to the complainant. The employee receiving the complaint shall inform the complainant of his or her name and complaint report number.
- G. If the complaint is received from an anonymous person, the receiving employee shall inform the complainant of the availability of formal complaint procedures. Additionally, the complainant shall be advised that although the complaint will be investigated, the statement of the anonymous complainant will not be used as evidence under these procedures because the information would constitute hearsay.

The person receiving an anonymous complaint shall

complete the complaint form to the best of his ability given the "anonymous" circumstances of the complaint. An effort must be made to obtain sufficient information so as to allow a determination of the charges, since later contact with the complaint shall indicated "anonymous complaint" in the space provided for the complainants' signature.

- H. All complaint reports received by other than IA personnel shall be referred to the unit or individual responsible for complaint investigation as as soon as possible and in no case shall the reference exceed one working day.
- The Chief of Police or captain acting during his I. absence shall immediately be notified of any complaint alleging misconduct which is of a serious or immediately continuing nature. This notification is necessary under these circumstances in order to allow for immediate investigation and/or corrective action. Immediate investigation and/or corrective action is required when circumstances surrounding the alleged misconduct constitute probable cause to believe that a serious erosion of public confidence or a major violation of a citizen's rights will occur absent such action by the department. Any immediate action taken by the highest authority notified would be in addition to an investigation as set forth in these procedures.

9.56 NOTIFYING PARTIES OF COMPLAINT

- A. Under Part 9.55, subsection "D", "E", and "F" above, the complainant will have received a copy of the original complaint report as a receipt indicating the complaint has been filed.
- B. If the accused member is not identified at the time the complaint is made, and is not so indicated on the report form, he shall be given a copy of the original complaint as soon as the investigator establishes his identity.
- C. When an accused employee is notified of a complaint alleging a major violation, he/she shall also be given a written statement setting forth employee rights and duties when made the subject of an internal discipline investigation.

9.57 <u>TIMELINESS OF INVESTIGATION</u>

- A. Every investigation of a citizen complaint shall be completed within 30 calendar days of the filing of the complaint. If the investigation cannot, upon a showing of good cause, be completed within this time period, the investigator may be granted an extension by the Chief of Police. The extension shall not exceed 15 calendar days, although consecutive extensions may be permitted by the Chief of Police when necessary.
- B. The complainant and the accused department member shall be notified of the extension within two working days after it is established.

9.58 INVESTIGATIVE RESPONSIBILITY

- A. It is the responsiblity of the Internal Affairs unit,

 Captain, to investigate and or provide staff super
 vision for the investigation of all citizen complaints.
- B. The assigned investigating authority shall be directly accountable to the Chief of Police or his designee on all matters within the purview of these procedures.
- C. The supervisor in charge shall be notified that a complaint is being filed, and that supervisor shall attempt to resolve that complaint unless it alleges misconduct of a serious and immediately continuous nature. In such cases, the investigating authority shall provide administrative control and review over the investigation.
- D. In addition to complaints alleging misconduct, the investigating authority shall, unless otherwise assigned by the executive officer, have authority to conduct or, as in cases involving bodily injury or homicide, supervise and control investigations into the following situations and circumstances;
 (1) Any situation where an officer has been injured or killed by the willful or deliberate act of another person;
 - (2) Any situation where a citizen has been killed or injured by an officer, whether on duty or not;
 - (3) Any situation involving the discharge of a firearm by an officer, other than in training;

- (4) Assisting any member of the department by investigating cases of personnel harrassment, threats, false accusations, or contrived situations which may be harmful to him;
- (5) Assisting or otherwise taking charge of any disciplinary case when instructed to do so by the Chief of Police of the department.
- E. If a complaint alleges misconduct on the part of a member responsible for or assigned to internal investigations, the Chief of Police may assign the case to another commanding officer unconnected with that function.
- F. The Chief of Police shall have the authority to obtain the assistance of or refer an investigation to external prosecuting agencies in any case where serious criminal charges are alleged or whenever the public interest would best be served.

9.59 INVESTIGATION PROCEDURE

- A. Upon assignment of the case, the responsible investigator shall, within two working days, notify the complainant of his name, business telephone number where he can be reached, and case file number.
- B. The investigator shall be responsible for notification of the complainant and the accused department member as set forth under 9.57, B, relating to delays in the investigation.
- C. It is the responsibility of the assigned investigator

to thoroughly investigate the case and submit a complete investigation report as provided under these procedures. Al relevant information obtained by the investigator shall be entered into the investigation report.

- D. The investigation shall not be delayed or suspended because of any concurrent civil or criminal proceeding to which the complainant is a party, unless:
 - (1) The complainant requests such a delay, and there is no reason to believe that the alleged conduct of the accused member is of a serious or continuing nature.
 - (2) Upon the explicit advise of the city attorney or under the direction of the Chief of Police.

9.60 INVESTIGATION REPORT

- a. Upon completion of the investigation, the assigned investigator shall prepare an Investigation Report.
- b. The Investigation Report shall be detailed. The body of the Report shall be organized as follows:
 - (1) Complaint. This section shall consist of a resume of the complaint as made by the complainant, and an itemized listing of each allegation of the complaint. It shall be concise, and shall include reference to those rules, procedures, orders, ordinances, statutes, other settled law, or constitutional provisions which would be violated, if the allegations are taken as true.
 - (2) Investigation. This section shall consist of a

chronological summary of the investigation and pertinent facts derived from interviews conducted with the complainant, accused member, and all witnesses available; written statements from any of the interested persons; descriptions and analysis of any physical evidence obtained; and all other information and materials pertinent to the complaint which came to the attention to the assigned investigator.

(3) Conclusion. This section shall consist of the findings of the investigation and reasons for the findings in connection with each allegation.

9.61 REVIEW OF THE INVESTIGATION: DISPOSITION

- a. Immediately upon completion of the investigation, the Chief of Police shall review the written Report, and the investigation file. He shall determine whether:
 - (1) Any allegations of the complaint are sustained; or
 - (2) All allegations of the complaint are not sustained.
- b. The Chief of Police may also determine that the complaint is not one against an individual officer, but rather a complaint concerning a departmental policy or practice.
- c. The Chief of Police, after review of the investigative file, and prior to the taking of any formal action, shall contact the complainant in writing and offer the individual a optional personal interview to discuss the complaint. At such interview, the Chief shall discuss the complaint, the investigation and any proposed resolution with the complainant. He shall offer the complainant the opportunity to provide any further evidence

or testimony elicited in reaching a decision regarding the complaint.

- d. After making the finding or findings referred to above, the Chief of Police shall take appropriate disciplinary action if warranted. Disciplinary action shall be based on the investigation file and report, and the accused member's record of service.
- e. After determining whether disciplinary action is to be taken, the Chief of Police shall notify the complainant, and the accused officer, in writing, of the investigative findings and disposition of the complaint, and the reasons for the findings and disposition.

9.62 COMMENDATIONS

Citizens shall likewise be apprised of the fact that officer's may be commended for meritorious performance. Citizen commendation forms shall be made available in a similar fashion to complaint forms. Letters or other expression of commendation shall be accepted by the department.

9.63 FURTHER APPEAL

If the complainant or subject officer is dissatisfied with the determination of the Chief of Police, he or she may further appeal the matter to the City Manager.

USE OF FORCE

12.1

UNDERSTANDING OF LIMITATIONS

The purpose of this Section is to provide each officer with policy guidance and direction with respect to the use of force in carrying out his duties. "Reasonableness" is the standard, and the officer must be prepared to state articulable facts showing the reasonableness of his actions under the circumstances.

12.2

REASONABLE FORCE

- a. Any peace officer who has reasonable cause to believe that the person to be arrested has committed a public offense may use reasonable force to effect the arrest, to prevent his escape or to overcome resistance. The person making an arrest is acting lawfully if the force and means used, are such as would be considered necessary by the ordinary reasonable person placed in the same position.
- b. Willful inhumanity or oppression toward a prisoner or unlawfully assaulting or beating a prisoner is punishable as a crime. Officers shall not use unnecessary force or violence in making ar arrest or dealing with a prisoner or any other person.
- c . The use of a deadly weapon is the most extreme use of force and therefore is allowed only in extreme situations. (See sections 12.22 and 12.3)

d. Officers shall not use their batons except as a defensive weapon, or as a restraining device to control violent persons, or for crowd control, in accordance with training tactics approved by the Chief of Police. The unreasonable brandishing of a baton for the purpose of intimidation is not within the proscribed training procedures of the Police Department. The baton should not be removed from the ring unless the situation warrants its removal.

When in the preformance of the officers duties the baton is used a report will be made on the incident and submitted to the shift Sergeant or Officer-In-Charge, ("O.I.C.").

12.22 <u>USE OF DEADLY FORCE</u>

12.2.21 <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 1. <u>Deadly Force</u> that which is likely to cause serious bodily injury or death.
- 2. <u>Serious Bodily Injury</u> bodily injury that creates a substantial risk of death or that causes death, serious permanent disfigurement, or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any bodily member or organ.
- 3. <u>Imminent Commission</u> the officer has probable cause to believe the offense is threatening to occur immediately.
- 4. Detention Area that area or areas of the Woodland Police Department building or other place designated and used for the confinement of persons arrested for, charged with, or convicted of an offense. For purposes of this policy a person shall be considered in jail while in that part of Woodland Police Department building designated as the security section and that person is being confined there for transfer to the

Sheriffs Department or until he is legally released.

5. Reasonable Belief - A belief based on the particular set of facts or circumstances known to or observed by the officer and which would thereby be warranted in the mind of an ordinary and prudent man in the same or similar circumstances.

12.3 FIREARMS

12.3.1 USE OF FIREARMS

The use of firearms is the use of deadly force. If deadly force cannot be legally employed, the use of firearms is not authorized. Police officers shall exhaust every reasonable means of defense and apprehension before resorting to the use of firearms if time and circumstances permit. An officer shall not discharge firearms in the performance of his police duties except under the following circumstances:

- a. In the necessary defense of himself or others from death or grievous injury;
- b. To effect the arrest of suspects in violent or potentially deadly felonies, or to prevent an escape of a felony suspect, or to recapture a felony escapee when other means have failed or could not be reasonably employed. (See P.C. § 196.3) Also Note: A recent Court of Appeals case has held that "deadly force may not be used against a fleeing suspect unless the felony is of the violent variety, i.e., a forcible and atrocious one which threatens death or serious bodily harm, or there are other circumstances which reasonably create a fear of death or serious bodily harm to the officer or to another." Peterson v. City of Long Beach 72 C.A. 3d 852 (1977) Citing Kortum v. Alkire (1977) 69 Cal. App. 3d 325

[138 Cal. Rptr. 26]. The <u>Peterson</u> case is currently on appeal to the California Supreme Court. The California Supreme Court's decision will be the policy.

- c. To prevent a crime in which human life reasonably appears to be in serious jeopardy as a result of a suspects actions;
- d. To kill a dangerous animal which is seriously injured or poses a real threat to the safety of humans by use of firearms is approved when no other disposition is practical and safety of people has been given prime consideration;
 - e. For target practice at an approved range.

12.312 CONFLICT WITH STATE LAW

In the event of any legal conflict betwen this policy and state law, state law shall control.

12.32 HANDLING RESTRICTIONS

- a. Employees shall exercise the utmost care and caution in the use of firearms at all times and shall not needlessly display or carelessly handle any firearms in any police building or public place. The improper use of a weapon can lead to civil or criminal action against the officer.
 - b. Firearms shall not be discharged as a warning to stop a fleeing person or to gain control of an arrest except:
 - 1. Shots fired for the purpose of summoning aid when the safety of any person is at stake and more conventional communication is not likely to be effective,
 - 2. Shots fired with the intent to stop a threatened attack by persons engaged in a riot upon an officer or innocent victims or prisoners.

- c. Discharging a firearm from or at a moving vehicle is prohibited unless the occupants of the other vehicle are using deadly force against the officer or any other person.
- d. An officer is allowed to draw or to display (point) a weapon conly if there is reason to fear for his personal safety and/or the safety of others.
- e. Peace officers shall not surrender their firearms unless as a last resort and only after using every tactical tool at their disposal. Surrender of a weapon rarely de-escalates a serious situation and can in fact put an officer and innocent person in jeopardy.
- f. Employees are specifically prohibited from engaging in any form of "dry" shooting in any police building or public place, except as a part of a training course under supervision. This term is meant to include any form of aiming or trigger-pull practice, or snapping of the hammer.
- g. Officers shall not clean, repair, load or unload firearms in any public place or police station without the permission of their immediate supervisor or range officer.

 This restriction shall not apply to sidearms, when loading or unloading is ordered by a supervising officer for inspection purposes or to other weapons which have been removed from the arms rack or locker for temporary police purpose.

 Emergency weapons shall be presented to a supervising officer or designated range officer for inspection before being returned to the gun locker.

12.33 CARRYING OF FIREARMS

a. Only those officers who meet this department's minimum

requirements for demonstrated proficiency in the use of firearms shall be allowed to carry firearms in the course of their employment. Demonstrated proficiency shall mean achieving minimum scores at least semi-annually on a prescribed course supervised by a person designated by the Chief of Police and attaining and demonstrating a knowledge of the laws concerning the use of firearms and the principles of accepted procedures for the use of firearms.

- b. All members of the department will register all firearms individually owned and used within the scope of their employment.
- c. Officers off-duty while in public places may carry a concealed firearm. The firearm can be either revolver or semi-automatic: type and will be properly concealed from the public view.

Carrying a second gun is prohibited unless circumstances warrant it and prior authorization of the Chief of Police is obtained.

12.34 AUTHORIZED WEAPON

- a. The authorized weapon for uniformed duty in this department is a .357 magnum with a four (4) inch barrel provided by the City of Woodland.
- b. While on duty investigators or administrative officers wearing plain clothes are authorized to carry a .357 magnum two-and-half (2 1/2) inch barrel provided by the City of Woodland.
- c. Officers while off-duty may carry weapons except if otherwise determined by the Chief of Police.

- d. The department shall issue both .357 magnum and .38 special ammunition. The .38 special ammunition may have a minimum of 110 grain and a maximum of 158 grain rating.
- e. While on duty all officers shall carry in their weapons six (6) rounds of .38 special ammunition. The officers ahll carry an additional six (6) rounds of .357 magnum ammunition, which may be used when the situation warrants it.

12.35 DISCHARGE OF FIREARMS

Whenever a member of this department discharges a firearm either accidentally or in the performance of police duties, except at an approved range, he shall verbally notify his immediate supervisor as soon as time and circumstances permit, but in no event later than the conclusion of his current tour of duty.

- a. The member who discharged his firearm shall also file a detailed written report of the incident within 8 hours after the incident occurred and the report shall be directed to the Chief of Police through established channels. The written report shall include the following information
 - (1) Name and City identification number of the officer who discharged the firearm(s).
 - (2) Date and time of occurrence.
 - (3) Location of occurrence.
 - (4) Type, caliber, and serial number of firearm(s) discharged.
 - (5) Type and caliber of ammunition fired.
 - (6) Number of shots fired and direction shots

- (7) Description of object fired at. (If person, name, race, sex, DOB, etc., if known; if an animal, a brief description).
- (8) Whether or not the object fired at was moving, standing, or barricaded and direction of travel if in a vehicle.
- (9) Whether or not the officer or officers were moving in a vehicle, standing, running, barricaded, etc.
- (11) Names of supervisor, commander, and investigators responding to scene.
- (12) Other pertinent information concerning the incident written in narrative form. To be included are the reasons for the use of firearms, etc.....
- b. If the member who discharged his firearm is hospitalized or fatally injured during the tour of duty and incapable of filing the written report his immediate supervisor is responsible for filing as complete a report as possible pending further departmental investigation.
- c. Division commanders shall personally review such reports of the discharge of firearms, and shall designate a detective to investigate the facts and circumstances reported. This investigative report shall be submitted to the Chief of Police.

- (1) be computed on approved departmental range score cards by the member submitting the score for record; and
- (2) be verified as true and accurate by signature of the range officer and/or training officer, and,
- (3) be sumitted to the training officer for posting and/or filing no later than the last day of each month.

12.37 USE OF SHOTGUNS AND RIFLES

- a. The discharge of a shotgun and/or rifle constitutes the use of deadly force. It is therefore only appropriate to discharge a shotgun and/or rifle when an officer is facing deadly force as defined above.
- b. An officer's shotgun and/or rifle should remain in his or her car unless the situation appears or threatens to become sufficiently dangerous to himself or others that its display for deterrence, or use for defense, will become reasonably necessary. Pointing or brandishing a weapon for purposes of intimidation is prohibited.
- c. The issuance of the shotgun and/or rifle will be by the shift supervisor. The officer must first show his or her proficiency in shooting and handling these weapons, by the minimum standard set by the Chief of Police.

Failure to meet the semi-annual minimum shoot standards will be considered as a failure to maintain police proficiency and will necessitate a letter of explanation to the Chief of Police. Repeated failure to maintain a minimum semi-annual

d. A Board of Inquiry consisting of an officer of the rank of lieutenant or higher and one officer of the rank of the officer who discharged the firearm shall be appointed by the Chief of Police to review the facts in each instance of a discharge of a weapon by an officer in the performance of his duty where death or injury results. The Board shall prepare a report for the Chief of Police which sets forth the facts of the incident and which includes the Board's opinion and whether the discharge violated any law or departmental directive. The Board is a fact finding body which shall not be responsible for recommending disciplinary action.

12.36 FIREARM QUALIFICATION

- a. All sworn personnel of this department are required to maintain a minimum shoot score as stipulated by the training officer. This minimum shoot qualification will be fired with a regulation firearm and range ammunition.
- b. Failure to meet the minimum proficiency standard as set by the training officer, semi-annually, will necessitate a letter of explanation to the Chief of Police. Repeated failure to maintain a minimum semi-annual standard may result in disciplinary action.
- c. If the firearm used off-duty is other than the one issued by the Woodland Police Department, the officer will be required to achieve the minimum required score at least semi-annually on a prescribed shooting course supervised by the person designated by the Chief of Police.
- d. All range scores to be entered into the official departmental records shall:

12.41

Tear gas or mace may be used only when the occasion demands the use of reasonable force to subdue a violent or potentially dangerous person, and when other means short of deadly force are not reasonable or practicable.

PURPOSE

a. Whenever the tear gas or mace is used the circumstances surrounding its use will be described in detail in a written report by the using officer, detailing the events leading up to its use, the procedure used, and the results. The shift supervisor shall submit the report with his comments, if any, to the Captain.

After a disablement and arrest, in which mace has been used, the arresting officer shall permit or assist in the relief of the affected areas as soon as practicable by flushing with cold water or a mild solution of cold water and baking soda.

12.43 USE OF TEAR GAS

a. Tear gas shall be used only if a situation develops where it is reasonably apparent that either the subject, a citizen, or an officer may be injured if a crowd threatening imminent bodily harm refuses to disperse after a proper command of a peace officer (ie., reading the "riot act") and cannot reasonably be dispersed without the use of tear gas. Tear gas also may be used to apprehend an armed individual who is hiding in a building or other place if such person cannot otherwise be apprehended without unreasonable



risk of harm to himself or to others.

- b. Tear gas shall be employed only by, or at the direction of a field supervisor or administrative officer.
- c. The supervisor directing the use of tear gas shall submit a written report detailing the events leading up to its use, the procedure used and the results to the Chief of Police at the conclusion of that shift. If the shift supervisor is unable to submit a report because of injury or death the Captain shall designate a field supervisor or detective to investigate and submit their findings on the incident.

12.44 USE OF MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT

a. The police officer has at his disposal numerous pieces of equipment, which could be used as weapons. It is this department's policy that any piece of equipment that has not been herein designated as a weapon shall not be used as such, unless necessary to protect the officer or citizen from serious injury or death.

12.45 VIOLATION OF POLICIES -

Violation of these policies, or any other policy contained in this manual may be cause for discipline including, but not limited to suspension or termination.